

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/741,550	LJUBIMOVA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg.

(2) Stefan Kirchanski.

**Status of Application:** after RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 January 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Enablement rejection and Declaration*

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called the applicant to clarify applicant's position and to better understand the issues of the application. The applicant indicated he would review the application and provided the examiner with further discussion (see attached).*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)